



Onondaga County Legislature

JAMIE McNAMARA
Clerk

DAVID H. KNAPP
Chairman

MELANIE VILARDI
Deputy Clerk

401 Montgomery Street • Court House • Room 407 • Syracuse, New York, 13202
Phone: 315.435.2070 • Fax: 315.435.8434 • www.ongov.net/legislature

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

November 4, 2021
RESOLUTIONS 117-121

*Masks will be **REQUIRED** in the Court House.*

PUBLIC HEARING

12:55 P.M. Re: On the Proposed Inclusion of Viable Agricultural Land within Certified Agricultural Districts Pursuant to Section 303-B of the New York State Agriculture and Markets Law

Listed below are the resolutions to be presented to the County Legislature at the November Session. The meeting was held at **1:00 p.m. on Thursday, November 4, 2021.**

- A. CALL TO ORDER
- B. CALLING OF ROLL MEMBERS
- C. INVOCATION – **Mrs. Abbott-Kenan**
- D. SALUTE TO THE FLAG – **Ms. Kuhn**
- E. READING OF MINUTES
- F. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
- G. PRESENTATION OF COMMUNICATIONS
 1. **Public Comment:**
 2. **COUNTY EXECUTIVE VETO**
 - a. **No Consideration** Parks & Recreation Department: Increase A674600 Prov for Capital Projects, \$2,000,000
Parks & Recreation Department: Increase A590083 Appropriated Fund Balance, \$2,000,000 (8 Ervin, Kuhn, Ryan, Chase, Bush, Kinne, Williams, Rowley-8 May, Holmquist, McBride, Kelly, Burtis, Tassone, Cody, Knapp - 1 Abbott-Kenan)
- H. REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES
- I. REPORTS OF SPECIAL COMMITTEES
- J. CALL OF RESPECTIVE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICTS (District No. 6)

6TH DISTRICT – MRS. ABBOTT-KENAN – HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

1. **No. 117** Amending the Onondaga County Sanitary Code (16-0-1 Abbott-Kenan)

10TH DISTRICT – MR. HOLMQUIST – PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

2. **No. 118** Amending the 2021 County Budget and Authorizing Further Amendment to an Intermunicipal Agreement with the City of Syracuse regarding the Provision of Services for PeopleSoft Financials and Hyperion Software Systems (\$90,000) (16-0-1 Abbott-Kenan)
3. **No. 119** Approving the Inclusion of Viable Agricultural Land within Certified Agricultural Districts pursuant to Section 303-B of the New York State Agriculture and Markets Law (16-0-1 Abbott-Kenan)
4. **No. 120 Waiver** Calling a Public Hearing Regarding the Reapportionment of the Onondaga County Legislature (15-1 Kuhn-1 Abbott-Kenan)

3rd DISTRICT – MR. BURTIS – WAYS AND MEANS

5. *No. 121* Accepting and Approving the Contract between the County of Onondaga, the Sheriff of Onondaga County and the Onondaga County Deputy Sheriffs' Police Association, Inc. (16-0-1 Abbott-Kenan)

K. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

L. ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM THE CHAIR

M. ADJOURNMENT

Respectfully submitted,



JAMIE McNAMARA, Clerk
Onondaga County Legislature

**NOVEMBER 4, 2021
SESSION**

ROLL CALL

LEGISLATOR	PRESENT:	ABSENT:	
1. MAY	✓		<i>Chairman Knapp called the meeting to order at 1:28 p.m.</i>
2. ROWLEY	✓		
3. BURTIS	✓		
4. TASSONE	✓		
5. CODY	✓		
6. ABBOTT-KENAN		✓	
7. KUHN	✓		
8. RYAN	✓		
9. CHASE	✓		
10. HOLMQUIST	✓		
11. McBRIDE	✓		
13. BUSH	✓		
14. KELLY	✓		
15. KINNE	✓		
16. WILLIAMS	✓		
17. ERVIN	✓		
12. KNAPP	✓		
TOTAL:	16	1	

Good afternoon, I am Siobhán Christou. I am a Registered Nurse and one of two Communicable Disease nurses who work in the Bureau of Disease Control for the Onondaga County Health Department.

I want to start out with some numbers:

20 is the number of nurse's employed by the county currently. This is down since the start of the pandemic.

\$0.00 is the amount of shift differential we received for working countless evening and weekend hours during the pandemic.

Each nurse working for the county has sacrificed to keep our community safe. We were physically here in the office day in and day out, weekends, holidays, whatever was needed. We are the ones who were reassuring newly diagnosed COVID patients who had no idea whether they were going to live or die, while contact tracing to ensure there was no further spread. We are the ones who adapted to the ever changing guidance and continued to work tirelessly to put the health of others ahead of our own. Once the vaccine came out, we again, stepped up and vaccinated our community members, some of us, before we were fully vaccinated ourselves. We have continuously acclimated to different roles for the health of the public and are asking for such a small amount in return.

We are NOT just COVID nurses. We also investigate and mitigate food-borne illnesses, assess animal encounters with the public to prevent the fatal rabies virus, screen and treat Tuberculosis, STDs, the list goes on and on.

The County's current proposal of a 2% wage increase feels like a slap in the face. My colleagues are throwing up their hands in exasperation and taking positions where they feel valued and respected. The County must take action to retain and recruit high quality professionals to provide the critical public health services that Onondaga County residents rely on.

I would like to close with a few more numbers for thought:

An RN at Cortland County now makes 17% more than an RN at the comparable step at Onondaga County.

NYSNA members at Madison County were given an across the board increase of 15.89% this past year.

5.9% is the projected COLA (cost of living adjustment)-the highest increase in almost 40 years.

We are currently in negotiations for our new contract and we are asking you to honor the heroes of the pandemic... the nurses. We are a small group of hard working people that are asking for the recognition that we so justly deserve. We are hoping that the County Legislature shows us the same support and appreciation the public has shown us throughout this pandemic. We want to retain the nurses that we have left who have proven time and time again, that we are valuable, knowledgeable, reliable, and most importantly, care about the people and community that we live in.

Good afternoon Chairman Knapp and members of the County Legislature.

I am Linda Geariety. I am the NYSNA union local president and later this month, I will be starting my 17th year here as a county nurse.

I come before you today, encouraging you to show your support for your County nurses. I recognize that no one really wants to talk or think about COVID. Trust me, neither do the nurses! So today, I would like to talk to you about the part of the COVID pandemic that most people don't know about.

While life was put on pause, the activities in the Health dept continued. Since the start of this pandemic, there have been 19 either active/or suspect cases of Tuberculosis. Each one had to have their own contact investigation, medical workup, and any contacts had to be tested and if needed, begin treatment. But the primary work for the nurses was COVID investigations.

High risk and teenage pregnancies happened. The nurses were instructed that they should check on their moms when there is time. This could be accomplished by either phone or video calls. Now considering that each nurse was putting in solid 8-10 hour days, many times stretching to 12 hour days doing COVID investigations, it didn't really leave time for calling these pregnant moms.

~~_____~~
~~_____~~ Yet, COVID investigations marched on.

As you may have heard on the news, STD's remained prevalent in our community, especially syphilis. Since the start of the pandemic, it has been reported twice to the public about a syphilis outbreak. Dedicated STD clinics were arranged to test and treat any cases. And COVID work for the nurses continued.

The nurses for the Long Term program – our elderly in need of services, had 2 nurses trying to juggle

arranging for their needs as the calls came in all the while getting pulled to do COVID investigations.

Let's not forget about rabies, dog bites, ticks, Lyme disease and enterics (GI stuff). These all require their own Investigations and treatment arranged if necessary. But these nurses were doing COVID investigations too.

Time moves on, the numbers remain high. While the time devoted strictly to COVID has lessened, the nurses are still required to divide their time between the two. Healthy Families has suffered the loss of 6 staff members leaving. Recruitment to fill these positions is happening, but salary is reported to being a primary deterrent to accepting a position.

And then the Vaccines got approved. The work shifted from investigations to administering the vaccine. Again long clinics where nurses were pulled from all departments – long term, BDC and Healthy

Families to staff and manage these clinics. Today, the nurses wanted to be present while we spoke before you to show unity. A STD clinic and a vaccine clinic made that impossible to do. And now the 5-11 year olds have been approved and more clinics to be scheduled. This again, will pull us away from our “regular” duties. This has an impact on our clients, your constituents.

We currently are a group of 20 nurses. We have brought proposals to the table in an effort to make our wages competitive with other counties or agencies around us. A nurse came up to me the other day stating that the starting wage for a neighboring county health dept is higher than what she is currently making with Onondaga County. And she has been here for a couple of years.

People have often said to me, well look at what a nurse makes at Upstate. And my response has always been that you can't compare the county to the hospital. But Upstate also has outpatient clinics that operate Monday through Friday just like the County. So I investigated this and found that the

base pay for an RN is the same for the hospital and the outpatient clinic. So that argument no longer stands to me. And we have lost 2 of the above-mentioned nurses to Upstate.

Please support us in achieving a fair and equitable wage. Our proposals on the table aren't to be greedy or outlandish. But rather to make us more competitive in securing well trained professionals. We have been stretched and pulled to the max trying to maintain the quality standards that we each expect of ourselves as well as the County. The comments that nurses have received from the public during vaccine clinics has been nothing but praise and gratitude. But being short staffed and doing "double duty" so to speak has taken its toll.



County of Onondaga
Office of the County Executive

J. Ryan McMahon II
County Executive

ongov.net

October 31st, 2021

Jamie McNamara, Clerk
Onondaga County Legislators

RE: COUNTY EXECUTIVE VETO: Baseball & Softball Sports Tourism Continuation Plan \$2,000,000

Dear County Legislators:

Pursuant to Section 605 of the Onondaga County Charter, I hereby object to and veto the addition to the County budget of two million dollars for Hopkins Park. Such Increase appears as part of item 69 (Parks and Recreation) in the Ways and Means Report and is stated as follows:

Increase A666500 Contingent Account \$2,000,000
(Note: Baseball & Softball Sports Tourism Continuation Plan)

Onondaga County is fortunate to have a robust and successful Parks system and this includes Hopkins Road Park. The success of Hopkins Road Park is, in part, why it was identified by an independent market analysis as the ideal location for a lacrosse and soccer complex. The decision by the County Legislature to allocate two million of taxpayer dollars to “improve” Hopkins Road as a softball facility would directly inhibit the expressed intent of enhancing Hopkins Road Park into a state of the art lacrosse and soccer complex.

The 2022 budget was nothing short of historic. It shored up out base operations, in part, by adding nearly 300 new positions. It continued Onondaga County’s commitment to tackling poverty, investing in mental health and supporting our children. This budget also makes it clear, more than ever, that Onondaga County cannot continue its commitment to the human service needs of our community if we do not generate the revenue to pay for it. This is exactly why the proposed soccer and lacrosse complex is critical for both enhancing our tourism infrastructure and driving necessary growth in our sales tax receipts. The decision by the County Legislature to spend two million dollars to “enhance” Hopkins Road Park, not only severely limits its potential, but would also dramatically limit the County’s ability to maximize potential revenue.

For the reasons stated above, I veto this measure.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "J. Ryan McMahon, II". The signature is stylized and cursive.

J. Ryan McMahon, II
Onondaga County Executive



County of Onondaga
Office of the County Executive

John H. Mulroy Civic Center, 14th Floor
421 Montgomery Street, Syracuse, New York 13202

Phone: 315.435.3516 Fax: 315.435.8582

www.ongov.net

J. Ryan McMahon II
County Executive
Ann Rooney
Deputy County Executive, Human Services

Brian J. Donnelly
Deputy County Executive
Mary Beth Primo
Deputy County Executive, Physical Services

November 1, 2021

Jamie McNamara, Clerk
Onondaga County Legislature

RE: COUNTY EXECUTIVE VETO: Hopkins Road Improvements \$2,000,000

Dear Clerk McNamara:

The attached Veto Message is appended to the county budget and transmitted pursuant to the Onondaga County Charter. Please note that the accounting language is clarified to reflect the stated message and replaces the accounting language earlier transmitted by email.

Sincerely,

J. Ryan McMahon, II


Onondaga County Executive

2021 NOV -1 PM 2:03



County of Onondaga
Office of the County Executive

John H. Mulroy Civic Center, 14th Floor
421 Montgomery Street, Syracuse, New York 13202

Phone: 315.435.3516 Fax: 315.435.8582

www.ongov.net

J. Ryan McMahon II
County Executive

Ann Rooney
Deputy County Executive, Human Services

Brian J. Donnelly
Deputy County Executive

Mary Beth Primo
Deputy County Executive, Physical Services

November 1, 2021

Jamie McNamara, Clerk
Onondaga County Legislators

RE: COUNTY EXECUTIVE VETO: Hopkins Road Improvements \$2,000,000

Dear County Legislators:

Pursuant to Section 605 of the Onondaga County Charter, I hereby object to and veto the addition to the County budget of two million dollars for Hopkins Park. Such item appears as part of Amendment "J" to Budget Resolution No. 112-2021 and is stated as follows:

Increase A674600 Prov for Capital Projects \$2,000,000

Increase A590083 Appropriated Fund Balance \$2,000,000

Onondaga County is fortunate to have a robust and successful Parks system and this includes Hopkins Road Park. The success of Hopkins Road Park is, in part, why it was identified by an independent market analysis as the ideal location for a lacrosse and soccer complex. The decision by the County Legislature to allocate two million of taxpayer dollars to "improve" Hopkins Road as a softball facility would directly inhibit the expressed intent of enhancing Hopkins Road Park into a state of the art lacrosse and soccer complex.

The 2022 budget was nothing short of historic. It shored up our base operations, in part, by adding nearly 300 new positions. It continued Onondaga County's commitment to tackling poverty, investing in mental health and supporting our children. This budget also makes it clear, more than ever, that Onondaga County cannot continue its commitment to the human service needs of our community if we do not generate the revenue to pay for it. This is exactly why the proposed soccer and lacrosse complex is critical for both enhancing our tourism infrastructure and driving necessary growth in our sales tax receipts. The decision by the County Legislature to spend two million dollars to "enhance" Hopkins Road Park, not only severely limits its potential, but would also dramatically limit the County's ability to maximize potential revenue.

For the reasons stated above, I veto this measure.

J. Ryan McMahon, II


Onondaga County Executive

2021 NOV -1 PM 2:03

**NOVEMBER 4, 2021
SESSION**

**Veto
Reconsideration**

LEGISLATOR	AYES:	NOES:	ABSENT:	EXCUSED:	
1. MAY		✓			<p><i>Chairman Knapp asked if there was a motion to reconsider the Veto.</i></p> <p><i>Mr. Ryan made a motion to reconsider, seconded by Mr. Williams.</i></p> <p><i>Motion failed.</i></p>
17. ERVIN	✓				
6. ABBOTT-KENAN			✓		
7. KUHN	✓				
8. RYAN	✓				
9. CHASE	✓				
10. HOLMQUIST		✓			
11. McBRIDE		✓			
13. BUSH	✓				
14. KELLY		✓			
15. KINNE	✓				
16. WILLIAMS	✓				
2. ROWLEY	✓				
3. BURTIS		✓			
4. TASSONE		✓			
5. CODY		✓			
12. KNAPP		✓			
TOTAL:	8	8	1	0	

November 4, 2021

Motion Made By Mrs. Abbott-Kenan

RESOLUTION NO. 117

AMENDING THE ONONDAGA COUNTY SANITARY CODE

WHEREAS, the Onondaga County Legislature adopted the Onondaga County Sanitary Code pursuant to Resolution No. 281-1968; and

WHEREAS, the Health Advisory Board and the Commissioner of Health of Onondaga County have recommended and submitted to the County Legislature for adoption proposed amendments to the sanitary code that repeal and amend the code of the Onondaga County Sanitary Code pursuant to Article 16 of the Onondaga County Charter and Public Health Law of the State of New York and in accordance with procedures set forth in Article 16 of the Onondaga County Administrative Code; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendments are intended to protect the life, health and welfare of the citizens of the County of Onondaga; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Onondaga County Sanitary Code is hereby amended and a copy of the amended County of Onondaga Sanitary Code is on file with the Clerk of the Onondaga County Legislature; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that in all other respects the provisions of the Onondaga County Sanitary Code remain unchanged and in full force and effect; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that this amended Onondaga County Sanitary Code shall become effective November 4, 2021.

ADOPTED 11/4/21



I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and exact copy of the legislation duly adopted by the County Legislature of Onondaga County on the 4th day of November 2021.
Clerk, County Legislature

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be "J. P. ...", is written over the printed name of the Clerk.

**NOVEMBER 4, 2021
SESSION**

1

LEGISLATOR	AYES:	NOES:	ABSENT:	EXCUSED:
1. MAY				
17. ERVIN				
6. ABBOTT-KENAN			✓	
7. KUHN				
8. RYAN				
9. CHASE				
10. HOLMQUIST				
11. McBRIDE				
13. BUSH				
14. KELLY				
15. KINNE				
16. WILLIAMS				
2. ROWLEY				
3. BURTIS				
4. TASSONE				
5. CODY				
12. KNAPP				
TOTAL:	16	0	1	0

November 4, 2021

Motion Made By Mr. Holmquist

RESOLUTION NO. 118

AMENDING THE 2021 COUNTY BUDGET AND AUTHORIZING FURTHER AMENDMENT TO AN INTERMUNICIPAL AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF SYRACUSE REGARDING THE PROVISION OF SERVICES FOR PEOPLESOFT FINANCIALS AND HYPERION SOFTWARE SYSTEMS

WHEREAS, by Resolution No. 181-2014, an intermunicipal agreement was authorized with the City of Syracuse whereby the County will provide support services related to the implementation of PeopleSoft Financials and Hyperion Software Systems for the City (the "Phase II Implementation Project"), and the City will reimburse the County for the costs of providing such services, and prior amendments were authorized by this County Legislature; and

WHEREAS, to provide for additional funds to be spent for such services for the City, it is necessary to further amend this agreement to include Kronos software systems/applications within the Phase II Implementation Project scope of services, extend the term, and increase the compensation, and to amend the budget to make such funds available for use; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the County Executive hereby is authorized to amend the agreement with the City of Syracuse to include Kronos software support services, extend the agreement to March 30, 2022 at a yearly cost not to exceed \$90,000, and increase the maximum amount of reimbursement accordingly; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that the 2021 County budget is amended as follows:

REVENUES:

In Admin Unit 2700000000	
Information Technology	
Speed Type #160044	
Project 725003 - SYR CITY PEOPLE SOFT IMA	
In Account 590040 – Svc Oth Govt-Gen Govt Support	\$90,000

APPROPRIATIONS:

In Admin Unit 2700000000	
Information Technology	
Speed Type #160044	
Project 725003 - SYR CITY PEOPLE SOFT IMA	\$90,000

ADOPTED 11/4/21



I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and exact copy of the legislation duly adopted by the County Legislature of Onondaga County on the 4th day of November 2021. Clerk, County Legislature

**NOVEMBER 4, 2021
SESSION**

2

LEGISLATOR	AYES:	NOES:	ABSENT:	EXCUSED:
1. MAY				
17. ERVIN				
6. ABBOTT-KENAN			✓	
7. KUHN				
8. RYAN				
9. CHASE				
10. HOLMQUIST				
11. McBRIDE				
13. BUSH				
14. KELLY				
15. KINNE				
16. WILLIAMS				
2. ROWLEY				
3. BURTIS				
4. TASSONE				
5. CODY				
12. KNAPP				
TOTAL:	16	0	1	0

November 4, 2021

Motion Made By Mr. Holmquist

RESOLUTION NO. 119

APPROVING THE INCLUSION OF VIABLE AGRICULTURAL LAND WITHIN CERTIFIED
AGRICULTURAL DISTRICTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 303-B OF THE NEW YORK STATE
AGRICULTURE AND MARKETS LAW

WHEREAS, Section 303-b of Agriculture and Markets Law provides land owners with an annual thirty day period to submit requests for the inclusion of predominantly viable agricultural lands within certified agricultural districts; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution No. 71-2004, that thirty-day period began January 1, 2021, and ended January 30, 2021; and

WHEREAS, land owners have filed requests for inclusion of predominantly viable agricultural land within certified agricultural districts; and

WHEREAS, the County referred the requests to the Onondaga County Agriculture and Farmland Protection Board, and that Board has reviewed such requests and determined that such property, other than Town of Elbridge parcels 029.-03-14.2 and 029.-03-35.0, consists predominantly of viable agricultural land and that the inclusion of such land, other than Town of Elbridge parcels 029.-03-14.2 and 029.-03-35.0, would serve the public interest by assisting in maintaining a viable agricultural industry within the districts, and recommends such property for inclusion within the certified agricultural districts, excepting the parcels within the Town of Elbridge; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on Thursday, November 4, 2021 at 12:55 p.m. relating to such requested inclusions; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the proposed action is an Unlisted action and this Legislature shall act as the Lead Agency for the purposes of the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA); and, be it further

RESOLVED, that the Short Environmental Assessment Form for this project has been completed and reviewed and is on file with the Clerk of the Legislature; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that this Legislature hereby finds and declares a negative declaration under SEQRA in that there is no anticipated construction and no anticipated change in use, that the identified possible impacts, if any, on air quality and surface water quality will not be significant and there is little likelihood of significant adverse environmental impacts; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that based on the recommendation of the Agriculture and Farmland Protection Board and information provided through the public hearing, this Onondaga County Legislature hereby adopts and approves the inclusion of the requested viable agricultural land within certified Agricultural District No. 3, with the exception of Town of Elbridge parcels 029.-03-14.2 and 029.-03-35.0; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that in accordance with Section 303-b of Agriculture and Markets Law the modifications to Agricultural Districts Nos. 1 and 3 are hereby described as follows, and include the following parcels listed by agricultural district, town, owner, parcel number, and acreage:

ONONDAGA COUNTY AGRICULTURAL DISTRICTS 2021 RECOMMENDED ADDITIONS				
DISTRICT	TOWN	OWNER	PARCEL	ACRES*
1	ONONDAGA	BRIAN & MEGAN LUTON	056.-01-03.1	7.14
District 1 Total				7.14
3	CLAY	JAMES R. HART / JEANNETTE L. LOFTUS HART	023.-01-03.6	25.16
3	CLAY	RONALD LEWIS	042.-01-07.0	27.34
3	CLAY	JONATHAN LEWIS	042.-01-13.0	29.98
3	CLAY	RONALD LEWIS	048.-01-09.0	33.46
3	CLAY	RONALD LEWIS	048.-01-10.0	50.47
3	CLAY	D. JAMES & LINDA L. WHEELER	048.-01-11.0	116.76
3	CLAY	D. JAMES & LINDA L. WHEELER	048.-01-13.0	116.77
3	CLAY	D. JAMES & LINDA L. WHEELER	048.-01-18.0	52.04
3	CLAY	D. JAMES & LINDA L. WHEELER	048.-01-19.0	10.73
3	ELBRIDGE	HOURIGAN FARMS OF ELBRIDGE LLC	028.-01-01.4	24.67
3	ELBRIDGE	HOURIGAN FARMS OF ELBRIDGE LLC	028.-01-06.1	16.41
3	ELBRIDGE	HOURIGAN FARMS OF ELBRIDGE LLC	029.-01-10.3	62.70
3	ELBRIDGE	MARTIN & LYNNE HANKS	029.-02-07.1	60.63
3	ELBRIDGE	MICHELLE L SHOPE	037.-01-15.1	153.12
3	LYSANDER	HOURIGAN FARMS OF ELBRIDGE LLC	037.-02-05.1	398.78
District 3 Total				1,179.02
GRAND TOTAL				1,186.16
Two requested parcels are not recommended for addition in 2021 (Elbridge, 029.-03-14.2 and 029.-03-35.0).				
* Calculated using a Geographic Information System (GIS), not Real Property Services (RPS) data.				

and, be it further

RESOLVED, that should these parcels be subdivided prior to the expiration of said Districts, each subdivision would automatically be considered a part of said Districts, and would remain in the District while it is in effect; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that the Clerk of this Legislature is to submit a copy of this resolution, together with the report of the Onondaga County Agriculture and Farmland Protection Board including tax map numbers and maps for each parcel of land to be included in an agricultural district to the Commissioner of the New York State Department of Agriculture and Markets.

ADOPTED 11/4/21



I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and exact copy of the legislation duly adopted by the County Legislature of Onondaga County on the 4th day of November 2021.
Clerk, County Legislature

**NOVEMBER 4, 2021
SESSION**

3

LEGISLATOR	AYES:	NOES:	ABSENT:	EXCUSED:
1. MAY				
17. ERVIN				
6. ABBOTT-KENAN			✓	
7. KUHN				
8. RYAN				
9. CHASE				
10. HOLMQUIST				
11. McBRIDE				
13. BUSH				
14. KELLY				
15. KINNE				
16. WILLIAMS				
2. ROWLEY				
3. BURTIS				
4. TASSONE				
5. CODY				
12. KNAPP				
TOTAL:	16	0	1	0

Short Environmental Assessment Form

Part 1 - Project Information

Instructions for Completing

Part 1 – Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 – Project and Sponsor Information			
Name of Action or Project: Annual addition of viable agricultural lands to Agricultural Districts in Onondaga County			
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map): Various locations in Onondaga County - refer to County Legislature resolution and/or Report to the County Legislature for full list and map of properties.			
Brief Description of Proposed Action: Annual addition of viable agricultural lands to Agricultural Districts in Onondaga County. Refer to Onondaga County Legislature resolution for full list of properties recommended for addition to Agricultural Districts			
Name of Applicant or Sponsor: Onondaga County Legislature		Telephone: 315-435-2070 E-Mail: debbiematur@ongov.net	
Address: Onondaga County Courthouse, Room 407, 401 Montgomery St			
City/PO: Syracuse		State: NY	Zip Code: 13202
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, local law, ordinance, administrative rule, or regulation? If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and the environmental resources that may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to question 2.			NO <input type="checkbox"/>
			YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any other government Agency? If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:			NO <input type="checkbox"/>
			YES <input type="checkbox"/>
3. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? _____ acres b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? _____ acres c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? _____ acres			
4. Check all land uses that occur on, are adjoining or near the proposed action: <input type="checkbox"/> Urban <input type="checkbox"/> Rural (non-agriculture) <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial <input type="checkbox"/> Residential (suburban) <input type="checkbox"/> Forest <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic <input type="checkbox"/> Other(Specify): <input type="checkbox"/> Parkland			

5. Is the proposed action,	NO	YES	N/A
a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape?	NO		YES
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Area?	NO		YES
If Yes, identify: _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?	NO		YES
b. Are public transportation services available at or near the site of the proposed action?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near the site of the proposed action?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements?	NO		YES
If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies: _____ _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?	NO		YES
If No, describe method for providing potable water: _____ _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?	NO		YES
If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment: _____ _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. a. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places?	NO		YES
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?	NO		YES
b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres: _____ _____ _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Project:

Date:

Short Environmental Assessment Form
Part 2 - Impact Assessment

Part 2 is to be completed by the Lead Agency.

Answer all of the following questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project sponsor or otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept "Have my responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"


	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Will the proposed action impact existing:		
a. public / private water supplies?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Project:

Date:

**Short Environmental Assessment Form
Part 3 Determination of Significance**

For every question in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts.

<input type="checkbox"/> Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an environmental impact statement is required.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.	
Onondaga County Legislature	
Name of Lead Agency	Date
David Knapp	Chairman
Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Title of Responsible Officer
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	 Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)

November 4, 2021

WAIVER

Motion Made By Mr. Holmquist

RESOLUTION NO. 120

CALLING FOR THE PUBLIC HEARING ON THE PROPOSED LOCAL LAW REGARDING THE
REAPPORTIONMENT OF THE ONONDAGA COUNTY LEGISLATURE

WHEREAS, the federal government has published the results of the 2020 Census, and pursuant to the Onondaga County Charter and the Onondaga County Administrative Code, the Onondaga County Legislature has appointed the Reapportionment Commission to review the County legislative districts; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Onondaga County Charter and Code, the Reapportionment Commission submits to this Legislature for consideration a proposed Local Law to Reapportion the Onondaga County Legislature by Amending Article II, Section 206 of the Onondaga County Charter; and,

WHEREAS, a public hearing will be held for the public to have an opportunity to be heard by the Legislature regarding the changes to the legislative districts proposed within such local law; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Onondaga County Legislature hereby calls for the public hearing to be held before the Onondaga County Legislature on November 10, 2021 at 6:00 PM in the Legislative Chambers, 401 Montgomery Street, Room 407 of the Court House, Syracuse, New York relative to the local law proposed by the Reapportionment Commission to reapportion the Onondaga County Legislature by amending Article II, Section 206 of the Onondaga County Charter, with notice of such hearing to be afforded pursuant to applicable provisions of the New York State Open Meetings Law.

ADOPTED 11/4/21



I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and exact copy of the legislation duly adopted by the County Legislature of Onondaga County on the 4th day of November 2021.
Clerk, County Legislature

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be "Jm", is written over the printed name of the Clerk.

**NOVEMBER 4, 2021
SESSION**

4

LEGISLATOR	AYES:	NOES:	ABSENT:	EXCUSED:	
1. MAY	✓				<p><i>Mr. Holmquist requested a waiver to present the following resolution.</i></p> <p><i>There was no objection, and the waiver was allowed.</i></p>
17. ERVIN	✓				
6. ABBOTT-KENAN			✓		
7. KUHN		✓			
8. RYAN	✓				
9. CHASE	✓				
10. HOLMQUIST	✓				
11. McBRIDE	✓				
13. BUSH	✓				
14. KELLY	✓				
15. KINNE	✓				
16. WILLIAMS	✓				
2. ROWLEY	✓				
3. BURTIS	✓				
4. TASSONE	✓				
5. CODY	✓				
12. KNAPP	✓				
TOTAL:	15	1	1	0	

November 4, 2021

Motion Made By Mr. Burtis

RESOLUTION NO. 121

ACCEPTING AND APPROVING THE CONTRACT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF ONONDAGA,
THE SHERIFF OF ONONDAGA COUNTY AND THE ONONDAGA COUNTY DEPUTY SHERIFFS'
POLICE ASSOCIATION, INC.

WHEREAS, collective negotiations have been conducted between the County of Onondaga and the Onondaga County Deputy Sheriffs' Police Association, Inc. (OCSPA) representing certain Onondaga County employees; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to said collective negotiations, an agreement has been reached by the parties and approved by the Association and its membership; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the following agreement be and hereby is approved and accepted as executed, to wit:

AGREEMENT
BETWEEN
THE COUNTY OF ONONDAGA
THE SHERIFF OF ONONDAGA COUNTY
AND
THE ONONDAGA COUNTY DEPUTY SHERIFFS' POLICE
ASSOCIATION, INC.
JANUARY 1, 2021 - DECEMBER 31, 2024

and, be it further

RESOLVED, that retroactivity shall be applicable as specified therein.

ADOPTED 11/4/21



I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and exact copy of the legislation duly adopted by the County Legislature of Onondaga County on the 4th day of November 2021.
Clerk, County Legislature

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be "Jm", is written over the printed name of the Clerk.

**NOVEMBER 4, 2021
SESSION**

5

LEGISLATOR	AYES:	NOES:	ABSENT:	EXCUSED:
1. MAY				
17. ERVIN				
6. ABBOTT-KENAN			✓	
7. KUHN				
8. RYAN				
9. CHASE				
10. HOLMQUIST				
11. McBRIDE				
13. BUSH				
14. KELLY				
15. KINNE				
16. WILLIAMS				
2. ROWLEY				
3. BURTIS				
4. TASSONE				
5. CODY				
12. KNAPP				
TOTAL:	16	0	1	0

*Mr. May moved to
adjourn to 10:00 a.m. on
November 12th.*

*There was no objection,
and the meeting was
adjourned until November
12th at 10:00 a.m.*