2025 Hazard Mitigation Plan

Onondaga County, New York

Village of Fayetteville Annex



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. HA | AZARD MITIGATION LOCAL PLANNING TEAM | 1 |
|--------|---|----|
| 2. MU | UNICIPAL PROFILE | 1 |
| 2.1. | Population | 1 |
| 2.2. | History and Cultural Resources | 2 |
| 3. GR | ROWTH/DEVELOPMENT TRENDS | 2 |
| 3.1. | Changes in Priority | 3 |
| 4. CA | PABILITY ASSESSMENT | 3 |
| 4.1. | Planning and Regulatory Capabilities | 4 |
| 4.2. | Administrative and Technical Capabilities | 6 |
| 4.3. | Fiscal Capabilities | 7 |
| 4.4. | Education and Outreach Capabilities | 8 |
| 4.5. | Community Classifications | 8 |
| 4.6. | Self-Assessment of Capability | 9 |
| 4.7. | Needs to Expand/Improve Capabilities | 9 |
| 5. NA | TIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM | 10 |
| 5.1. | NFIP Floodplain Administrator | 10 |
| 5.2. | Repetitive Loss and Severe Repetitive Loss Property | 10 |
| 5.3. | Participation Activities | 11 |
| 5.3 | .1. Regulatory | 11 |
| 6. HA | AZARD MITIGATION PLAN INTEGRATION | 13 |
| 6.1. | Existing Plan Integration | 13 |
| 6.2. | Potential Future Integration | 14 |
| 7. SIC | GNIFICANT HAZARD PAST EVENTS | 14 |
| 8. HA | AZARD VULNERABILITY AND IMPACT ASSESSMENT | 15 |
| 8.1. | Future Major Assets | 18 |
| 9. CR | RITICAL FACILITIES FLOOD RISK | 18 |
| 10. | HAZARD RISK RANKING | 19 |
| 11. | MITIGATION ACTIONS | 22 |
| APPEN | DIX A. HAZARD MAPS | 34 |
| APPEN | DIX B. LETTER OF INTENT | 35 |
| APPEN | DIX C PLAN ADOPTION | 36 |



This Annex details the hazard mitigation elements specific to the Village of Fayetteville, a participating jurisdiction to the 2025 Onondaga County Hazard Mitigation Plan update. This Annex is not intended to be a standalone document but supplements the information contained in **Volume 1** (**Countywide Planning Elements**). Therefore, all sections of **Volume 1** including the planning process, hazard identification and risk assessment, mitigation strategy (includes mitigation goals and objectives), and plan maintenance apply to and were met by the Village of Fayetteville. This Annex provides additional information specific to the Village, with a focus on providing additional details on the hazard risk assessment and mitigation strategy (i.e., mitigation actions) for this community.

1. HAZARD MITIGATION LOCAL PLANNING TEAM

The following individuals have been identified as the Village of Fayetteville Local Planning Team for the 2025 Onondaga County Hazard Mitigation Plan. These individuals participated in all aspects of the planning process and developed a risk and vulnerability assessment, capability assessment, and mitigation strategy (including mitigation actions) specific to the jurisdiction.

| Name | Title | Department |
|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Michael E. Jones | Code Enforcement Officer | Building and Codes Department |

2. MUNICIPAL PROFILE

The Village of Fayetteville lies in the east-central portion of Onondaga County and has a total area of 1.7 square miles. The Village is approximately eight (8) miles east of the City of Syracuse and lies in the center of the Town of Manlius on both sides of the New York State Route 5, which was the main route across New York until the New York State Thruway was constructed. *The Town of Manlius has developed its own dedicated annex as part of this Plan.* Limestone Creek flows north through the western portion of the Village and Bishop Brook flows northwest into Limestone Creek, which joins Chittenango Creek.

2.1. Population

In 2023, the Village of Fayetteville had a population of 4,220, a 2.1% increase from the estimated 2018 population of 4,133. **Table 1** summarizes population distribution between 2010 and 2023, and the percentage of the 2023 population that is under five (5) years old, over 65 years old, and living below poverty level.

| Population | | | Unc | lerserved Populati | on | |
|------------|-------|-------|---------------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| 20101 | 20182 | 20233 | Population Change (2018 – 2023) | Youth ³ (Under 5 years old) | Elderly ³ (Over 65 years old) | Below Poverty Level ⁴ |
| 4,349 | 4,133 | 4,220 | 2.1% | 8.6% | 25.3% | 5.4% |

Table 1. Population Trends

Hazard Mitigation Plan – Onondaga County, New York 2025 DRAFT

¹ United States Census Bureau. (2010). DP05: ACS Demographic and Housing Estimates (2010: 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles). Retrieved from https://data.census.gov/table/ACSDP5Y2010.DP05?g=160XX00US3625527.

² United States Census Bureau. (2018). DP05: ACS Demographic and Housing Estimates (2018: 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles). Retrieved from https://data.census.gov/table/ACSDP5Y2018.DP05?g=160XX00US3625527.

³ United States Census Bureau. (2023). DP05: ACS Demographic and Housing Estimates (2023: 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles). Retrieved from https://data.census.gov/table/ACSDP5Y2023.DP05?g=160XX00US3625527.

⁴ United States Census Bureau. (2023). S1701: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months (2023: 5-Year Estimates Subject Tables). Retrieved from https://data.census.gov/table/ACSST5Y2023.S1701?g=160XX00US3625527.



2.2. History and Cultural Resources

The name "Fayetteville" was chosen to honor the hero of the American Revolution, Marquis de Lafayette. The post office, established in 1818, and the Village's incorporation in 1844, made the name official. Growth in Fayetteville is attributed to its proximity to the City of Syracuse and has been the center of trade and business since the first settlers arrived in 1792. The Charles Estabrook Mansion, Genesee Street Hill-Limestone Plaza Historic District, and Levi Snell House are listed on the National Register of Historic Places.

3. GROWTH/DEVELOPMENT TRENDS

Understanding development trends can help evaluate whether the jurisdiction's vulnerability has increased, decreased, or remained the same. **Table 2** summarizes the total housing units built in the Village of Fayetteville between 2019 and 2023.⁵

| Type | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
|---------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Single-Family Units | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Multi-Family Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2-Family Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3-Family Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Apartment Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Units | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |

Table 2. Housing Units Built (2019 - 2023)

The Onondaga County Housing Needs Assessment, a component of the County's Comprehensive Plan, explores the County's housing market and its challenges in greater depth and argues that one of the County's greatest housing needs is an improved approach to land use planning. In the Assessment, it is stated that there are similarities and affinities between certain groups of municipalities. Therefore, the County was sub-divided into seven (7) sub-regions, each of which covers multiple municipalities. The municipalities within each sub-region, share sufficient geographic and market characteristics to be treated as a single place for purposes of further understanding the county housing market.

The Village of Fayetteville is in the Outer Ring East sub-region. Total household growth between 2000 and 2020 was 8.0% which is below the average of all the towns within the County (the average of all the County towns/villages was 12.0%). If demand continues to grow in the County, Outer Ring East is well positioned to capture a share of the growth. Overbuilding of typical single-family for sale products is a potential threat to market health as household growth tilts in the direction of rental while the growth in owner households comes from smaller and older households. Under a low growth scenario, it is likely that Outer Ring East would see a decrease in the total number of homeowners and a growing number of renter households. It is also likely that some conversion of owner-occupied houses to rental could occur. Market changes would happen gradually, with strong areas remaining strong for longer, and new single-family development would maintain a feeling of growth and success if it occurs. The degree and speed of stagnation, and possible market decline, would be dependent on the amount of typical sprawling ownership housing development in the County. The greater the number of units built for the ownership market, the higher the risk of rental conversion or vacancy of formerly owner-occupied houses.

⁵ Data provided by the Onondaga County Department of Planning based on Real Property Data (2024).



Table 3 summarizes major recent residential/commercial development (in the past five (5) years), and any known or anticipated major residential/commercial development and major infrastructure development, as of December 2024, that is likely to occur within hazard prone areas in the next five (5) years.

Table 3. Growth and Development

| Property or Development Name | Location | Type (e.g., residential, commercial) | # of Units/ Structures | Known Hazard Zone(s) | Status of Development | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Recent Development in the Past Five (5) Years (2019 – 2024) | | | | | | | |
| Chapel Street Apartments | 400 Clinton Street Fayetteville, NY 13066 | Mixed-Use | 7 | Earthquake, Geological Hazards | Complete | | | |
| Honda Dealership | 534 East Genesee Street Fayetteville, NY 13066 | Commercial | 1 | Geological Hazards | Complete | | | |
| K | Known or Anticipated l | Development in 1 | the Next Five (5) | Years (2024 – 2029) | | | | |
| Grocery Store | 547 East Genesee Street Fayetteville, NY 13066 | Commercial | 1 | Geological Hazards | Encountering Legal Challenges | | | |
| Splash Car Wash | 129 East Genesee Street Fayetteville, NY 13066 | Commercial | 1 | Flood, Earthquake, Geological Hazards | Under Construction | | | |
| Unique Development | 410 East Genesee Street Fayetteville, NY 13066 | Commercial | 1 | Geological Hazards | Under Construction | | | |
| Honda Dealership Extension | 534 East Genesee Street Fayetteville, NY 13066 | Commercial | 1 | Geological Hazards | Under Construction | | | |

3.1. Changes in Priority

The overall hazard mitigation priorities have not significantly changed for the Village of Fayetteville since the last Plan update. However, mitigation actions from the previous Plan were updated, and a more concerted effort on achieving equitable outcomes for all communities, including underserved communities and socially vulnerable populations, has been implemented.

4. CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT

Federal regulations require hazard mitigation plans to identify goals for reducing long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards in the planning area (Section 201.6(c)(3)(i)). A critical step in the development of specific hazard mitigation actions and projects is assessing existing authorities, policies, programs, and resources and capabilities to use or modify local tools to reduce losses and vulnerability from profiled hazards.

A capability assessment was conducted for the Village of Fayetteville's authorities, policies, programs, and resources. Goals and mitigation actions were developed using input from this assessment. Information regarding



the Village's implementation of and continued participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) can be found in Section 5 of this Annex.

The Local Planning Team assessed the Village's capabilities that can contribute to the reduction of long-term vulnerabilities to hazards. The capabilities include the following categories:

- Planning and Regulatory Capabilities
- Administrative and Technical Capabilities
- Fiscal Capabilities
- Education and Outreach Capabilities

Additionally, ways to expand on and improve these existing policies and programs to integrate hazard mitigation into the day-to-day activities and programs of the Village were considered.

4.1. Planning and Regulatory Capabilities

Table 4 includes local ordinances, policies, and laws to manage growth and development (e.g., land use plans, capital improvement plans, transportation plans, emergency preparedness and response plans, building codes, and zoning ordinances).

Table 4. Planning and Regulatory Tools

| Capability Category | Yes/No | Authority (local, county, state, federal) | Responsible Department/ Agency | Code Citation and Comments (e.g., Code Chapter, name of plan, explanation of authority, etc.) |
|---|--------|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | Planning Cap | pability | |
| Comprehensive Plan | Yes | Local | Planning Board | Adopted in April 2023 |
| Capital Improvements Plan | No | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Floodplain Management / Basin Plan | No | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Stormwater Management Plan | Yes | Local | Department of Public Works | Member of the Central New York (CNY) Stormwater Coalition Village of Fayetteville Stormwater Management Program Plan (2023) |
| Open Space Plan | Yes | Local | Parks Committee | Approved on January 2018 |
| Stream Corridor Management Plan | No | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Watershed Management or Protection Plan | No | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Economic Development Plan | No | N/A | N/A | N/A |



| Capability Category | Yes/No | Authority (local, county, state, federal) | Responsible Department/ Agency | Code Citation and Comments (e.g., Code Chapter, name of plan, explanation of authority, etc.) |
|---|--------|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan | No | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Emergency Operation Plan | No | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Evacuation Plan | No | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Post-Disaster Recovery Plan | No | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Transportation Plan | Yes | Local | Planning Board | Comprehensive Plan (2023) |
| Strategic Recovery Planning Report | No | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Climate Adaptation Plan | Yes | Local | Planning Board | Last updated in 2014 |
| Resilience Plan | No | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | Regulatory Ca | apability | |
| Building Code | Yes | State, Local | Building and Codes Department | Chapter 16 of the New York State Building Code |
| Zoning Ordinance | Yes | Local | Building and Codes Department | Chapter 78 of the Village Code Chapter 187 of the Village Code |
| Subdivision Ordinance | Yes | Local | Building and Codes Department | Chapter 151 of the Village Code |
| NFIP Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance | Yes | Local | Building and Codes Department | Chapter 81 of the Village Code |
| NFIP: Cumulative Substantial Damages | No | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| NFIP: Freeboard | Yes | State, Local | Code Enforcement Office | Chapter 16 of the New York State Building Code State mandated two (2) feet above the BFE for all construction, both residential and non-residential. |
| Growth Management Ordinances | No | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Site Plan Review Requirements | Yes | Local | Planning Board | Chapter 139 of the Village Code |
| Stormwater Management Ordinance | Yes | Local | Department of Public Works | Chapter 147 of the Village Code |
| Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) | Yes | Local | Department of Public Works | Chapter 147 of the Village Code |



| Capability Category | Yes/No | Authority (local, county, state, federal) | Responsible Department/ Agency | Code Citation and Comments (e.g., Code Chapter, name of plan, explanation of authority, etc.) |
|--|--------|--|---|---|
| Natural Hazard Ordinance | No | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Post-Disaster Recovery Ordinance | No | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Real Estate Disclosure Requirement | Yes | State | New York State Department of State, Real Estate Agent | New York Code – Article 14 §460- 467 (Property Condition Disclosure Act) |
| Other (Special Purpose Ordinances [i.e., sensitive areas, steep slope]) | No | N/A | N/A | N/A |

4.2. Administrative and Technical Capabilities

The administrative and technical capabilities, listed in **Table 5**, include community (i.e., public and private) staff and their skills and tools, which can be used for mitigation planning and implementation. This capability includes engineers, planners, emergency managers, Geographic Information System (GIS) analysts, building inspectors, grant writers, and floodplain managers. Small communities may rely on other government entities, such as counties or special districts, for resources.

Table 5. Administrative and Technical Capabilities

| Capability | Yes/No | Position/Department/Agency | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Administrative Capability | | | | | | |
| Planning Board | Yes | | | | | |
| Mitigation Planning Committee | No | N/A | | | | |
| Environmental Board/Commission | No | N/A | | | | |
| Open Space Board/Committee | Yes | Parks Commission | | | | |
| Economic Development Commission/Committee | No | N/A | | | | |
| Maintenance programs to reduce risk | Yes | Department of Public Works Building and Codes Department | | | | |
| Mutual aid agreements | Yes | Department of Public Works Fire Department | | | | |
| Technic | al/Staffing Cap | pability | | | | |
| Planner(s) or engineer(s) with knowledge of land development and land management practices | Yes | Engineering Consultant | | | | |
| Engineer(s) or professional(s) trained in construction practices related to buildings and/or infrastructure | Yes | Engineering Consultant | | | | |
| Planners or engineers with an understanding of natural hazards | Yes | Engineering Consultant | | | | |
| NFIP Floodplain Administrator | Yes | Code Enforcement Officer, Building and Codes Department | | | | |



| Capability | Yes/No | Position/Department/Agency |
|---|--------|--|
| Surveyor(s) | No | N/A |
| Personnel skilled or trained in GIS applications | No | N/A |
| Scientist familiar with natural hazards | No | N/A |
| Warning systems/services | Yes | Onondaga County Emergency Communications (911) |
| Emergency Manager | Yes | Fire Chief, Fire Department |
| Grant writer(s) | No | N/A |
| Staff with expertise or training in benefit/cost analysis | No | N/A |
| Professionals trained in conducting damage assessments | No | N/A |

4.3. Fiscal Capabilities

Table 6 contains a list of fiscal capabilities available to the Village that may be used to implement mitigation activities to reduce risk and enhance resiliency. This capability includes available funding sources from local budgets, state and federal grants, potential cost-sharing arrangements with private entities, existing insurance policies, and the ability to generate additional revenue through fees and bonds related to mitigation.

Table 6. Fiscal Capabilities

| Financial Resources | Accessible or Eligible to Use |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Community Development Block Grants (CDBG, CDBG-DR) | Yes |
| Federal Hazard Mitigation Assistance Program (i.e., Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), HMGP Post Fire, Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC), Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Program) | Yes |
| Capital Improvements Project Funding | Yes |
| Authority to Levy Taxes for specific purposes | Yes |
| User fees for water, sewer, gas or electric service | Yes |
| Impact fees for homebuyers or developers of new development/homes | Yes |
| Stormwater Utility Fee | Yes |
| Incur debt through general obligation bonds | Yes |
| Incur debt through special tax bonds | Yes |
| Incur debt through private activity bonds | Yes |
| Withhold public expenditures in hazard-prone areas | Yes |
| Other federal or state funding programs | Yes |
| Open Space Acquisition funding programs | Yes |



4.4. Education and Outreach Capabilities

Table 7 lists the Village's education and public outreach capabilities that can be used to inform residents about potential hazards, educate on mitigation strategies, and encourage proactive actions to reduce the community's impacts to disasters. These capabilities include fire safety programs, hazard awareness campaigns, public information, and communications offices.

Resource Yes/No Position/Department/Agency **Public Information Officer** No N/A Personnel skilled or trained in website development Yes Deputy Clerk, Village Clerk Office Hazard mitigation information available on the Yes Deputy Clerk, Village Clerk Office iurisdiction's website Deputy Clerk, Village Clerk Office Utilize social media for hazard mitigation education Yes Facebook: facebook.com/villageoffayetteville/ Instagram: instagram.com/villageoffayetteville/ Citizen boards or commissions that address issues No N/A related to hazard mitigation Deputy Clerk, Village Clerk Office Other programs already in place that could be used Yes to communicate hazard-related information Village Website, Village Quarterly Newsletter Onondaga County Emergency Communications An established warning system for hazard events Yes (911)

Table 7. Education and Outreach Resources

4.5. Community Classifications

The community classification relates to the community's ability to provide effective services to lessen its vulnerability to the hazards identified. These classifications can be viewed as a gauge of the community's capabilities in all phases of emergency management (i.e., preparedness, response, recovery and mitigation) and are used as an underwriting parameter for determining the costs of various forms of insurance. **Table 8** summarizes classifications for community programs available to the Village of Fayetteville.

Classification **Date Classified** Yes/No **Program** (if applicable) (if applicable) Community Rating System (CRS) No N/A N/A Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule No N/A N/A (BCEGS) **Public Protection** Yes Class 2 (ISO Fire Protection Classes 1 to 10) New York State Department of Environmental Yes Conservation Climate Smart Community Storm Ready Certification Yes _ Firewise Communities classification No N/A N/A Natural disaster/safety programs in/for schools Yes

Table 8. Community Classifications



| Program | Yes/No | Classification (if applicable) | Date Classified (if applicable) |
|---|--------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Organizations with mitigation focus (advocacy group, non-government) | No | N/A | N/A |
| Public private partnership initiatives addressing disaster-related issues | No | N/A | N/A |

4.6. Self-Assessment of Capability

The community classification relates to the community's ability to provide effective services to lessen its vulnerability to the hazards identified. These classifications can be viewed as an indicator of the community's capabilities in all phases of emergency management (i.e., preparedness, response, recovery and mitigation) and are used as an underwriting parameter for determining the costs of various forms of insurance. **Table 9** summarizes classifications for community programs available to the Village of Fayetteville.

Table 9. Self-Assessment Capability for the Municipality

| | Degree of Hazard Mitigation Capability | | | |
|--|---|----------|------|--|
| Capability Area | Limited (If limited, what are your obstacles?) | Moderate | High | |
| Planning and Regulatory Capabilities | | | X | |
| Administrative and Technical Capabilities | | X | | |
| Fiscal Capabilities | | | X | |
| Education and Outreach Capabilities | | X | | |
| Community Political Capabilities | | | X | |
| Community Resiliency Capabilities | | | X | |
| Capability to integrate mitigation into municipal processes and activities | | | X | |

4.7. Needs to Expand/Improve Capabilities

Based on the capability self-assessment in Section 4.6, the Village of Fayetteville identified existing authorities, policies, programs, funding, and/or resources that need to be expanded and/or improved in order to support the implementation of the hazard mitigation initiatives identified in this Plan (e.g., mitigation actions).

- In order to increase the Village's capability to implement hazard mitigation, apply for hazard mitigation grants, and fund the local match for hazard mitigation grants, the Village needs to expand its grant writing capabilities by potentially hiring more grant writers.
- GIS capabilities should be expanded for spatial data creation, dataset management, and spatial data analysis which would help advance hazard mitigation initiatives.
- Village codes and ordinances (e.g., building, zoning, protecting steep slopes, wetlands) should be reviewed
 based on developing trends in identified hazards and mitigation measures that can make them more effective
 at preventing losses.



5. NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM

The Village of Fayetteville is a member of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) but has chosen not to participate in the NFIP Community Rating System (CRS) Program. The Village is in good standing with the NFIP through adoption and enforcement of floodplain management requirements (e.g., regulating all new and substantially improved construction in Special Hazard Flood Areas), floodplain identification and mapping, and flood insurance outreach to the community. The Village's NFIP participation information is listed in **Table 10**.

Table 10. **NFIP Participation Information**

| CID | NFIP Participation Date | Current Effective FIRM Date | CRS Entry Date | CRS Current Effective Date | CRS Rating |
|--------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| 360578 | 7/26/1974 | 11/4/2016 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

5.1. NFIP Floodplain Administrator

All NFIP participating jurisdictions have a designated Floodplain Administrator that is charged with enforcing floodplain regulations, routinely monitoring the floodplains, and providing community assistance such as encouraging owners to maintain flood insurance. The Village of Fayetteville Floodplain Administrator information is listed in Table 11.

Table 11. Floodplain Administrator

| Name | Title | Department | Phone Number |
|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Michael E. Jones | Code Enforcement Officer | Building and Codes Department | (315) 637-9864 |

5.2. Repetitive Loss and Severe Repetitive Loss Property

FEMA defines a Repetitive Loss property as an NFIP-insured property meeting at least one (1) of the following paid loss criteria since 1978, regardless of any changes in ownership:

- Four (4) or more separate claims payments greater than \$5,000 each (including building and contents payment).
- Two (2) or more separate flood insurance claims payments (building payments only), where the total of the payments is greater than the property's current value.

Additionally, to receive a designation, at least two (2) of the claim payments must occur within 10 years of one another.6

A Severe Repetitive Loss property is defined by FEMA as any NFIP-insured single-family or multi-family residential building meeting at least one (1) of the following paid loss criteria since 1978 or from building constructed after 1978, regardless of any changes in ownership:

⁶ Federal Emergency Management Agency, National Flood Insurance Program. (2023). A Policyholder's Guide to Severe Repetitive Loss. Retrieved from https://agents.floodsmart.gov/sites/default/files/fema nfip-policyholders-guide-severerepetitive-loss brochure 07-2023.pdf.

⁷ Federal Emergency Management Agency, National Flood Insurance Program. (2021). National Flood Insurance Program: Flood Insurance Manual. Retrieved from https://www.fema.gov/sites/default/files/documents/fema_nfip-all-flood-insurance- manual-apr-2021.pdf.



- That has incurred flood-related damage for which four (4) or more separate claims payments have been made, with the amount of each claim (including building and contents payments) exceeding \$5,000, and with the cumulative amount of such claims payments exceeding \$20,000.
- For which at least two (2) separate claims payments (building payments only) have been made under such coverage, with the cumulative amount of such claims exceeding the market value of the building.

Table 12 summarizes FEMA Repetitive Loss and Severe Repetitive Loss properties within the Village of Fayetteville.

Table 12. Repetitive Loss and Severe Repetitive Loss Properties

| Repetitive Loss Properties | | Severe Repetitive Loss Properties | |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Total | Occupancy | Total | Occupancy |
| 0 | | 0 | |

Occupancy Type: Single Family = Single family residence • Two (2)-Four (4) Unit Residential Building = Two (2)-four (4) unit residential building • More Than Four (4) Units Residential Building = Residential building with more than four (4) units • Non-Residential Building = Non-residential building • Non-Residential Building = Single-family residential building with the exception of a mobile home or a single residential unit within a multi-unit building • Residential (2, 3, or 4 units) Non-Condo Building = Residential non-condo building with two (2), three (3), or four (4) units seeking insurance on all units • Residential (5 or more units) Non-Condo Building = Residential non-condo building with 5 or more units seeking insurance on all units • Residential Mobile/Manufactured Home = Residential mobile/manufactured home • Residential Condo Association = Residential condo association seeking coverage on a building with one (1) or more units • Single Residential Unit = Single residential unit within a multi-unit building • Non-Residential Unit = Non-residential unit within a multi-unit building • Non-Residential Unit = Non-residential unit within a multi-unit building

Table 13 summarizes the NFIP active policies and coverage in force data for the Village of Fayetteville.

Table 13. NFIP Policies

| NFIP Policies | Insurance in Force | Total Claims Paid | Sum of Claims Paid |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 31 | \$42,065 | 40 | \$192,224 |

5.3. Participation Activities

The Village of Fayetteville NFIP participation over the last five (5) years includes the following:

- Provides the following services permit review, GIS, inspections, and engineering capability.
- Enforces local floodplain regulations and monitors compliance.
- Floodplain management regulations meet or exceed FEMA or State minimum requirements.

5.3.1. Regulatory

Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance

The Village of Fayetteville's Flood Damage Prevention Chapter (*Chapter 81 of the Village Code*) was adopted to promote the public health, safety, and general welfare, and to minimize public and private losses due to flood conditions in specific areas by provisions designed to:

• Regulate uses which are dangerous to health, safety and property due to water or erosion hazards, or which result in damaging increases in erosion or in flood heights or velocities.



- Require that uses vulnerable to floods, including facilities which serve such uses, be protected against flood damage at the time of initial construction.
- Control the alteration of natural floodplains, stream channels, and natural protective barriers which are involved in the accommodation of floodwaters.
- Control filling, grading, dredging and other development which may increase erosion or flood damages.
- Regulate the construction of flood barriers which will unnaturally divert floodwaters or which may increase flood hazards to other lands.
- Qualify for and maintain participation in the NFIP.

The objectives of this Chapter are to:

- Protect human life and health.
- Minimize expenditure of public money for costly flood control projects.
- Minimize the need for rescue and relief efforts associated with flooding and generally undertaken at the expense of the general public.
- Minimize prolonged business interruptions.
- Minimize damage to public facilities and utilities, such as water and gas mains, electric, telephone, and sewer lines, streets and bridges located in areas of special flood hazard.
- Help maintain a stable tax base by providing for the sound use and development of areas of special flood hazard so as to minimize future flood blight areas.
- Provide that developers are notified that property is in an area of special flood hazard.
- Ensure that those who occupy the areas of special flood hazard assume responsibility for their actions.

Substantial Damage

Substantial damage means damage of any origin sustained by a structure whereby the cost of restoring the structure to its before damaged condition would equal or exceed 50% of the market value of the structure before the damage occurred. (Chapter 81 of the Village Code)

Substantial Improvement

Substantial improvement means any reconstruction, rehabilitation, addition, or other improvement of a structure, the cost of which equals or exceeds 50% of the market value of the structure before the "start of construction" of the improvement. The term includes structures which have incurred "substantial damage," regardless of the actual repair work performed. The term does not, however, include either:

- A. Any project for improvement of a structure to correct existing violations of state or local health, sanitary, or safety code specifications which have been identified by the local code enforcement official and which are the minimum necessary to assure safe living conditions; or
- B. Any alteration of an "historic structure," provided that the alteration will not preclude the structure's continued designation as an "historic structure." (Chapter 81 of the Village Code)



There are other local ordinances, plans or programs (e.g., site plan review) that support floodplain management and meeting the NFIP requirements.

6. HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN INTEGRATION

In order for a community to successfully reduce long term risk, hazard mitigation must be integrated into day-to-day planning mechanisms and initiatives. Plan integration is the process by which communities critically assess the existing planning framework and align efforts with the goal of reducing long term risks and building a more resilient community. It involves a two (2) way exchange of information and incorporation of ideas and concepts between hazard mitigation plans and other community plans. In particular, plan integration involves the incorporation of hazard mitigation principles and actions into other plans, and planning mechanisms into hazard mitigation plans. Plan integration involves community plans, policies, codes, and programs that guide development, roles, and responsibilities in implementing these capabilities. Additionally, plan integration is achieved through the involvement of key staff and community officials in collaborative hazard mitigation planning.

6.1. Existing Plan Integration

A hazard mitigation plan must explain how the jurisdiction incorporated the previous Plan update over the last five (5) years to demonstrate progress in local mitigation efforts. In the performance period since the adoption of the previous Hazard Mitigation Plan, the Village of Fayetteville made progress on integrating components of the hazard mitigation strategy (e.g., goals, objectives, and actions) into planning initiatives and mechanisms. **Table 14** highlights the planning mechanisms/initiatives where the previous Plan was integrated and what information was integrated.

Table 14. Current Plan Integration

| Planning Initiative | Current Integration Description |
|--|--|
| Site Plan Review and Approval | Site Plan Review and Approval is required for all commercial development in the floodplain. When reviewing all site plan applications, the Village Planning Board considers the Hazard Mitigation Plan goals, objectives, and hazard information to determine if site plan improvements should be approved. |
| Stormwater Management Program Plan | The Village of Fayetteville is a Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) regulation community with a formal Stormwater Management Plan, last updated in 2023. The Stormwater Management Plan specifies the requirements to reduce the volume of stormwater and mitigate stormwater flooding. |
| Ordinances | The Village has multiple local ordinances pertaining to the mitigation of hazards. These ordinances include the establishment of the Planning Board and Zoning Board of Appeals, Fire Prevention and Building Construction Ordinance (Chapter 78 of the Village Code), Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance (Chapter 81 of the Village Code), Stormwater Management Ordinance (Chapter 147 of the Village Code), Zoning Ordinance (Chapter 187 of the Village Code), and the Subdivision Regulations (Chapter 151 of the Village Code). |
| Retrofitting/Removal of Structures from Hazard Prone Areas | The Village supports the retrofitting, purchase, or relocation of structures located in hazard prone areas to protect structures from future damage, with repetitive loss and severe repetitive loss properties as priority. The Village works to identify facilities that are viable candidates for each strategy based on cost-effectiveness. The implementation of these hazard mitigation actions is based on available funding. <i>Refer to mitigation action VFA-1</i> . |
| Local Budget | The Village includes a line item for mitigation projects/activities into the municipal budget and/or capital improvement budget. |



| Planning Initiative | Current Integration Description |
|---------------------|--|
| Public Outreach | The Village's website provides information related to safety and hazard mitigation including local emergency response contact information, current project information and links to related ordinances and plans. Furthermore, the Village conducts and facilitates community outreach for residents and businesses promoting natural hazard risk reduction. <i>Refer to mitigation action VFA-2</i> . |

6.2. Potential Future Integration

A hazard mitigation plan must explain how the jurisdiction intends to incorporate this Plan update into planning mechanisms over the next five (5) years. The capability assessment presented in Section 4 of this Annex identifies codes, plans, and programs that provide opportunities for integration. **Table 15** outlines planning mechanisms/initiatives that do not currently integrate goals and recommendations of this Plan but provide opportunities to do so in the future.

Table 15. Potential Future Integration

| Planning Initiative | Potential Integration Description |
|---------------------|---|
| Comprehensive Plan | The Village will integrate this Hazard Mitigation Plan (2025 update) into the annual review of the Village's Comprehensive Plan, last updated in 2023. During each annual review, the Board of Trustees will evaluate the goals and strategies of the Comprehensive Plan and assess progress on Hazard Mitigation Plan principles and mitigation actions. This annual review will help guide the next steps to accomplish the objectives outlined in both plans. |
| Climate Action Plan | The Village will integrate this Hazard Mitigation Plan (2025 update) into the annual review of the Village's Climate Action Plan. During each annual review, the Board of Trustees will evaluate the goals and strategies of the Climate Action Plan and assess progress on Hazard Mitigation Plan principles and mitigation actions. This annual review will help guide the next steps to accomplish the objectives outlined in both plans. |
| Ordinances | Hazard mitigation could be integrated into future updates of the zoning, building, and subdivision ordinances to inform appropriate use of property within the Village. Portions of this Hazard Mitigation Plan should be reviewed to consider any future improvements to the codes, if appropriate. At the time of this Plan update, the Village was updating its Zoning Code and will, where determined practical, incorporate and/or reference the Hazard Mitigation Plan data, goals, and objectives into the updated Zoning Code. |
| Public Outreach | The Village could expand the information available on the Village's website to include material on the hazards outlined in this Hazard Mitigation Plan and information on climate change impacts to the potential hazards. |

The Village's Local Planning Team will identify all relevant planning initiatives that are scheduled to be updated in the next year and during the annual update process of the Hazard Mitigation Plan. Additionally, opportunities to integrate key elements of the Hazard Mitigation Plan, specifically any relevant strategies, into the planning initiatives will be identified by the Local Planning Team. Mitigation actions were identified to promote plan integration in future revisions of this Plan.

7. SIGNIFICANT HAZARD PAST EVENTS

A complete risk assessment, including past incidents, for each identified hazard of concern can be found in **Volume** 1 of this Plan. A summary of past events is provided under each hazard profiles and includes a chronology of events that have affected the County and its municipalities.



8. HAZARD VULNERABILITY AND IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Exposure and vulnerability to certain hazards affect the entire County and others are geographically defined. Although the entire County may be vulnerable to these hazards, their impacts may vary based on existing community conditions (e.g., underserved, or functional access needs populations may be more susceptible based on certain conditions, vulnerabilities, or needs).

Table 16 outlines the *unique vulnerabilities and impacts* for the Village of Fayetteville and only addresses the hazards that are relevant and unique to the jurisdiction. A complete risk assessment for each identified hazard of concern is in **Volume 1** of this Plan. Hazard mapping can be found in **Appendix A** of this Annex.

Table 16. Hazard Vulnerability and Impact Assessment

| Hazard | Vulnerabilities and Impacts |
|--|---|
| Drought | The Local Planning Team determined that the Village does not have unique vulnerabilities and impacts to drought; rather, the jurisdiction's vulnerability and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County. |
| Earthquake | The Local Planning Team determined that the Village does not have unique vulnerabilities and impacts to earthquake events; rather, the jurisdiction's vulnerability and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County. |
| Heat Wave/Extreme Heat | The Local Planning Team determined that the Village does not have unique vulnerabilities and impacts to heat wave/extreme heat events; rather, the jurisdiction's vulnerability and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County. |
| Flood (riverine, flash/urban, ice jam, dam and levee failure) | Limestone Creek flows north through the western portion of the Village and it vulnerable to flooding. |
| Geological Hazards (landslides, land subsidence, mudboils) | The Local Planning Team determined that the Village does not have unique vulnerabilities and impacts to geological hazards; rather, the jurisdiction's vulnerability and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County. |
| Harmful Algal Bloom | The Local Planning Team determined that the Village does not have unique vulnerabilities and impacts to harmful algal blooms; rather, the jurisdiction's vulnerability and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County. |
| Invasive Species and Infestation (Emerald Ash Borer, Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, True Armyworm, Common Reed (Phragmites), Eurasian Watermilfoil, Water Chestnut, Tick-Borne Diseases, Mosquito-Borne Diseases) | The Local Planning Team determined that the Village does not have unique vulnerabilities and impacts to invasive species and infestation; rather, the jurisdiction's vulnerability and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County. |
| Severe Weather (severe thunderstorms – hail, strong winds/damaging winds, tornadoes, hurricane/tropical storm) | The Local Planning Team determined that the Village does not have unique vulnerabilities and impacts to severe weather; rather, the jurisdiction's vulnerability and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County. |
| Winter Weather (blizzards, heavy snow, ice storms, cold wave/extreme cold, nor'easter) | The Local Planning Team determined that the Village does not have unique vulnerabilities and impacts to winter weather; rather, the jurisdiction's vulnerability and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County. |
| Wildfire (wildfire smoke) | The Local Planning Team determined that the Village does not have unique vulnerabilities and impacts to wildfire; rather, the jurisdiction's vulnerability and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County. |



The Village evaluated whether vulnerability in hazard prone areas had increased, decreased, or remained the same for each natural hazard identified in this Hazard Mitigation Plan. Climate change, changes in population, infrastructure expansion, and economic shifts that can affect vulnerability were considered. For example, if planned development is in an identified hazard areas or is not built to the updated building codes, it may increase the community's vulnerability to future hazards and disasters. On the other hand, if development occurred with mitigation practices in place, the vulnerability may have remained the same or decreased. Additionally, shifting demographics (e.g., underserved population) were taken into consideration.

Table 17 outlines if climate change has increased or decreased the Village's vulnerability (i.e., exposure) and impact to each natural hazard over the past five (5) years, and the effect of climate change in the future probability of occurrence and impacts from each natural hazard.

Table 17. Climate Change Current and Future Vulnerability and Impact

| Hazard | Vulnerability and Impact | | |
|---|--------------------------|--|--|
| Current Vulnerability and Impact | | | |
| Drought | Remained the Same | | |
| Earthquake | Remained the Same | | |
| Heat Wave/Extreme Heat | Remained the Same | | |
| Flood (riverine, flash/urban, ice jam, dam and levee failure) | Remained the Same | | |
| Geological Hazards (landslides, land subsidence, mudboils) | Remained the Same | | |
| Harmful Algal Bloom | Remained the Same | | |
| Invasive Species and Infestation (Emerald Ash Borer, Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, True Armyworm, Common Reed (Phragmites), Eurasian Watermilfoil, Water Chestnut, Tick-Borne Diseases, Mosquito-Borne Diseases) | Remained the Same | | |
| Severe Weather (severe thunderstorms – hail, strong winds/damaging winds, tornadoes, hurricane/tropical storm) | Remained the Same | | |
| Winter Weather (blizzards, heavy snow, ice storms, cold wave/extreme cold, nor'easter) | Remained the Same | | |
| Wildfire (wildfire smoke) | Remained the Same | | |
| Future Vulnerability and Impact | | | |
| Drought | No Change Anticipated | | |
| Earthquake | No Change Anticipated | | |
| Heat Wave/Extreme Heat | No Change Anticipated | | |
| Flood (riverine, flash/urban, ice jam, dam and levee failure) | No Change Anticipated | | |
| Geological Hazards (landslides, land subsidence, mudboils) | No Change Anticipated | | |
| Harmful Algal Bloom | No Change Anticipated | | |
| Invasive Species and Infestation (Emerald Ash Borer, Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, True Armyworm, Common Reed (Phragmites), Eurasian Watermilfoil, Water Chestnut, Tick-Borne Diseases, Mosquito-Borne Diseases) | No Change Anticipated | | |
| Severe Weather (severe thunderstorms – hail, strong winds/damaging winds, tornadoes, hurricane/tropical storm) | No Change Anticipated | | |
| Winter Weather (blizzards, heavy snow, ice storms, cold wave/extreme cold, nor'easter) | No Change Anticipated | | |
| Wildfire (wildfire smoke) | No Change Anticipated | | |



Table 18 outlines if changes in population within the Village over the past five (5) years have increased or decreased the vulnerability (i.e., exposure) and impact to these natural hazards, and the anticipated effects changes in population may have on the future probability of occurrence and impacts from these natural hazards.

Table 18. Changes in Population Current and Future Vulnerability and Impact

| Hazard | Vulnerability and Impact | | |
|---|--------------------------|--|--|
| Current Vulnerability and Impact | | | |
| Drought | Remained the Same | | |
| Earthquake | Remained the Same | | |
| Heat Wave/Extreme Heat | Remained the Same | | |
| Flood (riverine, flash/urban, ice jam, dam and levee failure) | Remained the Same | | |
| Geological Hazards (landslides, land subsidence, mudboils) | Remained the Same | | |
| Harmful Algal Bloom | Remained the Same | | |
| Invasive Species and Infestation (Emerald Ash Borer, Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, True Armyworm, Common Reed (Phragmites), Eurasian Watermilfoil, Water Chestnut, Tick-Borne Diseases, Mosquito-Borne Diseases) | Remained the Same | | |
| Severe Weather (severe thunderstorms – hail, strong winds/damaging winds, tornadoes, hurricane/tropical storm) | Remained the Same | | |
| Winter Weather (blizzards, heavy snow, ice storms, cold wave/extreme cold, nor'easter) | Remained the Same | | |
| Wildfire (wildfire smoke) | Remained the Same | | |
| Future Vulnerability and Impact | | | |
| Drought | No Change Anticipated | | |
| Earthquake | No Change Anticipated | | |
| Heat Wave/Extreme Heat | No Change Anticipated | | |
| Flood (riverine, flash/urban, ice jam, dam and levee failure) | No Change Anticipated | | |
| Geological Hazards (landslides, land subsidence, mudboils) | No Change Anticipated | | |
| Harmful Algal Bloom | No Change Anticipated | | |
| Invasive Species and Infestation (Emerald Ash Borer, Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, True Armyworm, Common Reed (Phragmites), Eurasian Watermilfoil, Water Chestnut, Tick-Borne Diseases, Mosquito-Borne Diseases) | No Change Anticipated | | |
| Severe Weather (severe thunderstorms – hail, strong winds/damaging winds, tornadoes, hurricane/tropical storm) | No Change Anticipated | | |
| Winter Weather (blizzards, heavy snow, ice storms, cold wave/extreme cold, nor'easter) | No Change Anticipated | | |
| Wildfire (wildfire smoke) | No Change Anticipated | | |

Table 19 outlines if development over the past five (5) years has increased or decreased the Village's vulnerability (i.e., exposure) and impact to these natural hazards, and the anticipated effects changes in development may have on the future probability of occurrence and impacts from these natural hazards.

Table 19. Changes in Development Current and Future Vulnerability and Impact

| Hazard | Vulnerability and Impact | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Current Vulnerability and Impact | | |
| Drought | Remained the Same | |



| Hazard | Vulnerability and Impact | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Earthquake | Remained the Same | | | | | | |
| Heat Wave/Extreme Heat | Remained the Same | | | | | | |
| Flood (riverine, flash/urban, ice jam, dam and levee failure) | Remained the Same | | | | | | |
| Geological Hazards (landslides, land subsidence, mudboils) | Remained the Same | | | | | | |
| Harmful Algal Bloom | Remained the Same | | | | | | |
| Invasive Species and Infestation (Emerald Ash Borer, Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, True Armyworm, Common Reed (Phragmites), Eurasian Watermilfoil, Water Chestnut, Tick-Borne Diseases, Mosquito-Borne Diseases) | Remained the Same | | | | | | |
| Severe Weather (severe thunderstorms – hail, strong winds/damaging winds, tornadoes, hurricane/tropical storm) | Remained the Same | | | | | | |
| Winter Weather (blizzards, heavy snow, ice storms, cold wave/extreme cold, nor'easter) | Remained the Same | | | | | | |
| Wildfire (wildfire smoke) | Remained the Same | | | | | | |
| Future Vulnerability and Impact | | | | | | | |
| Drought | No Change Anticipated | | | | | | |
| Earthquake | No Change Anticipated | | | | | | |
| Heat Wave/Extreme Heat | No Change Anticipated | | | | | | |
| Flood (riverine, flash/urban, ice jam, dam and levee failure) | No Change Anticipated | | | | | | |
| Geological Hazards (landslides, land subsidence, mudboils) | No Change Anticipated | | | | | | |
| Harmful Algal Bloom | No Change Anticipated | | | | | | |
| Invasive Species and Infestation (Emerald Ash Borer, Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, True Armyworm, Common Reed (Phragmites), Eurasian Watermilfoil, Water Chestnut, Tick-Borne Diseases, Mosquito-Borne Diseases) | No Change Anticipated | | | | | | |
| Severe Weather (severe thunderstorms – hail, strong winds/damaging winds, tornadoes, hurricane/tropical storm) | No Change Anticipated | | | | | | |
| Winter Weather (blizzards, heavy snow, ice storms, cold wave/extreme cold, nor'easter) | No Change Anticipated | | | | | | |
| Wildfire (wildfire smoke) | No Change Anticipated | | | | | | |

8.1. Future Major Assets

Community assets should include anything that is important to the character and function of a community. Assets include people (i.e., underserved population); structures (i.e., new and existing buildings); community lifelines and other critical facilities; natural, historic, and cultural resources; and the economy and other activities that have value to the community. The Village of Fayetteville does not anticipate that future major assets may be exposed or vulnerable to any of the natural hazards identified in this Hazard Mitigation Plan. However, any new assets (e.g., new construction in hazard prone areas) will be constructed to adhere to the latest building codes and standards, and mitigation to protect them from identified and anticipated hazards, especially those that are expected to increase due to climate change.

9. CRITICAL FACILITIES FLOOD RISK

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Title 6, Chapter V, Subchapter A, Part 502 sets forth local floodplain management criteria for State projects located within flood hazard areas. The law states that no such projects related to critical facilities shall be undertaken in a Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) unless built according to certain mitigation specifications, including being raised two (2) feet above the Base Flood



Elevation (BFE). While all vulnerabilities should be assessed and documented, the State places a high priority on exposure to flooding.

Jurisdictions must identify all critical facilities, assess their vulnerabilities, and evaluate and ensure they are protected to a 0.2% chance (500-year) flood event. Critical facilities that are located in an SFHA and/or have been previously flooded, must be protected against a repeat of that flood or to the 0.2% chance flood event, which ever provides the greater protection. The Plan must document those critical facilities are protected to a 0.2% flood event, or previous worst case flood event. For those that do not meet this level of protection, the Plan must include a mitigation action to meet or go beyond this criterion or explain why it is not feasible to do so.⁹

Table 20 identifies critical facilities in the community located in the 100-year and 500-year floodplain.

| | | Exposure Potential Loss from 100-Year Flood Event | | | | Addressed | | |
|------------------|------|---|--------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Name | Туре | 100- Year | 500- Year | % Structure Damage | % Content Damage | by Proposed Action | | |
| None identified. | | | | | | | | |

Table 20. Potential Flood Losses to Critical Facilities

10. HAZARD RISK RANKING

Table 21 presents the local hazard ranking for the Village of Fayetteville of all hazards of concern listed in **Volume 1** of this Plan. This ranking summarizes how hazards vary for this jurisdiction. As thoroughly described in **Volume 1** of this Plan, the ranking process involves an assessment of the likelihood of occurrence for each hazard, along with its potential impacts on people, property, and the economy. For further details on how the probability, extent, vulnerability, and impact factors in **Table 21** were calculated, please refer to Section 4.3 in **Volume 1** of this Plan.

It is important to note that the sub hazards for severe weather (i.e., strong winds/damaging winds, severe thunderstorms, tropical storm/hurricane, hail, and tornado), geological hazards (i.e., landslide, land subsidence, and mudboils), flood (i.e., riverine/creek flooding and ice jam, and urban/flash flooding), and winter weather (i.e., blizzards, lake effect snow, nor'easter, and ice storm, and cold wave/extreme cold) were individually ranked in the hazard risk ranking; however, severe weather, geological hazards, flood, and winter weather are each considered as the main hazard throughout this Annex and **Volume 1**.

| Hazard Event | Probability Factor | Sum of Weighted <u>Extent</u> Factors | Sum of Weighted <u>Vulnerability</u> Factors | Sum of Weighted <u>Impact</u> Factors | Consequence Score | Total Risk Score (Probability x Consequence) |
|--|-----------------------|--|---|--|----------------------|--|
| Winter Weather (Blizzards, Lake Effect Snow, Nor'easter, Ice Storm) | 4 | 12 | 14 | 21 | 47 | 67 |

Table 21. Village of Fayetteville Hazard Risk Ranking

⁸ New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. (n.d.). Chapter V – Resource Management Services. Retrieved from https://dec.ny.gov/regulatory/regulatory/regulations/chapter-v.

⁹ New York State Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Services. (2022). 2022 New York State Hazard Mitigation Planning Standards. Retrieved from https://www.dhses.ny.gov/system/files/documents/2023/11/2022-nys-mitigation-planning-standards-final.pdf



| Hazard Event | Probability Factor | Sum of Weighted <u>Extent</u> Factors | Sum of Weighted <u>Vulnerability</u> Factors | Sum of Weighted <u>Impact</u> Factors | Consequence Score | Total Risk Score (Probability x Consequence) |
|---|-----------------------|--|---|--|----------------------|--|
| Severe Thunderstorm (Severe Weather) | 3 | 12 | 16 | 14 | 42 | 61 |
| Strong Winds/ Damaging Winds (Severe Weather) | 3 | 12 | 11 | 16 | 39 | 57 |
| Flood (Riverine/Creek, Ice Jam) | 2 | 15 | 11 | 29 | 55 | 54 |
| Flood (Urban/Flash Flood) | 2 | 12 | 11 | 29 | 52 | 52 |
| Cold Wave/Extreme Cold (Winter Weather) | 2 | 12 | 14 | 21 | 47 | 48 |
| Heat Wave/Extreme Heat | 2 | 9 | 11 | 19 | 39 | 41 |
| Drought | 2 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 37 | 39 |
| Invasive Species and Infestation | 2 | 9 | 8 | 18 | 35 | 37 |
| Tropical Storm/Hurricane (Severe Weather) | 1 | 9 | 16 | 24 | 49 | 27 |
| Dam and Levee Failure (Flood) | 1 | 12 | 11 | 24 | 47 | 26 |
| Hail (Severe Weather) | 1 | 6 | 16 | 14 | 36 | 21 |
| Earthquake | 1 | 6 | 16 | 12 | 34 | 20 |
| Tornado (Severe Weather) | 1 | 6 | 6 | 22 | 34 | 20 |
| Land Subsidence (Geological Hazards) | 1 | 9 | 11 | 14 | 34 | 20 |
| Landslide (Geological Hazards) | 1 | 6 | 6 | 18 | 30 | 18 |
| Harmful Algal Bloom | 1 | 6 | 6 | 16 | 28 | 17 |
| Mudboils (Geological Hazards) | 1 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 22 | 14 |



| Hazard Event | Probability Factor | Sum of Weighted <u>Extent</u> Factors | Sum of Weighted <u>Vulnerability</u> Factors | Sum of Weighted <u>Impact</u> Factors | Consequence Score | Total Risk Score (Probability x Consequence) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|---|--|----------------------|--|
| Wildfire (Wildfire Smoke) | 1 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 20 | 13 |

Consequence: Sum of <u>all</u> weighted factors.

Extent: Sum of the weighted <u>Extent</u> factors.

Vulnerability: Sum of the weighted <u>Vulnerability</u> factors.

Impact: Sum of the weighted Impact factors.

Total Risk Score* = Probability x Consequence

* Normalized to 100

| | Total Risk Score Legend | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------|---------|---------------|---------|----------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Classification | Probability Factor | Extent | Vulnerability | Impact | Consequence Score | Total Risk Score | | | | | | |
| Low (L) | 1 | 0 – 6 | 0 – 6 | 0 – 12 | 0 – 24 | 0 – 24 | | | | | | |
| Medium (M) | 2 | 7 – 12 | 7 – 12 | 13 – 26 | 25 – 50 | 25 – 54 | | | | | | |
| High (H) | 3 | 13 – 18 | 13 – 18 | 27 – 39 | 51 – 75 | 55 and above | | | | | | |

The legend—specifically the assignment of low, medium, and high—provides an additional means to qualitatively assess the probability factor, sum of weighted factors, and the total risk scores for each hazard. The Consequence Score represents the sum of the Extent, Vulnerability, and Impact Factors. The Total Risk Score is a measure of Probability and Consequence.



11. MITIGATION ACTIONS

This section includes the mitigation actions that were developed to address identified risks and vulnerabilities to hazards identified in this Plan. This Plan serves only to recommend mitigation measures based on the potential for risk reduction and available funding. Implementation of mitigation actions is dependent on risk reduction priorities, feasibility, and available funding. It is also dependent on the cooperation and support of the jurisdiction and/or department responsible for each action item. Additionally, all mitigation actions identified in the 2019 update or before were updated accordingly. Any new mitigation actions are listed as *New* (under Project Status).

The Village of Fayetteville agreed upon **nine (9)** mitigation actions that apply to the jurisdiction's properties where they have jurisdictional responsibility and authority. Two (2) mitigation actions were completed. A summary of the Village's mitigation actions status is listed in **Table 22**.

Table 22. Village of Fayetteville Mitigation Action Summary

| Status | | Mitigation Action Total | | | | |
|---|-------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Continuous | | 7 | | | | |
| In Progress/Not Yet Completed | | 1 | | | | |
| No Progress/Not Yet Started | | 1 | | | | |
| New | | 0 | | | | |
| | TOTAL | 9 | | | | |
| Complete | | 2 | | | | |
| Discontinued | | 0 | | | | |
| Mitigation Actions per Hazard | | | | | | |
| Drought | 5 | Harmful Algal Bloom | | | | |
| Earthquake | 5 | Invasive Species and Infestation (Emerald Ash Borer, Hemlock Woolly Adelgid, True Armyworm, Common Reed (Phragmites), Eurasian Watermilfoil, Water Chestnut, Tick-Borne Diseases, Mosquito-Borne Diseases) | | | | |
| Heat Wave/Extreme Heat | 5 | Severe Weather (severe thunderstorms – hail, strong winds/damaging winds, tornadoes, hurricane/tropical storm, nor'easter) | | | | |
| Flood (riverine, flash/urban, ice jam, dam and levee failure) | 9 | Winter Weather (blizzards, heavy snow, ice storms, cold wave/extreme cold) | | | | |
| Geological Hazards (landslides, land subsidence, mudboils) | 5 | Wildfire (wildfire smoke) | 5 | | | |

A detailed explanation of the Mitigation Strategy can be found in Section 5 of Volume 1.



| Mitigation Action | | here appropriate, support retrofitting or relocation of structures in high hazard areas, prioritizing structures that have experienced petitive losses. | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|---|--|--|--|--|-------|--|--|--|
| Action Number | VFA-1 | | Goal(s) Addressed | | 2, 3, 6 | Prioritization Score | 13/15 | | | |
| Year Added to Plan | 20 | 13 | Timeline (estimated) | | Ongoing | Implementation Priority | High | | | |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated Drought, Earthquake, Heat Wave/Extreme Heat, Species and Infestation, Sev | | | | | | d, Geological Hazards, Harm Veather, Winter Weather, Wi | | | | |
| Project Status | | | Continuous | If Dis | ccontinued, provide reason. | N/A | | | | |
| | nefits Avoided) | | High | | | | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Orga | nnization | Codes D | Payetteville Building and epartment (Floodplain Administrator) | | Oorting Agency / Organization (If applicable) N/A | | | | | |
| Additional Partici Jurisdictions (If ap | | | | | N/A | | | | | |
| Estimated Co | ost | High | Potential Fund Source | ing | | General Fund (Staff Tin | ne) | | | |
| Critical Facil (Critical Facility located in 19 | | No | Additional Det | Additional Details Identify facilities that are viable candidates for retrofitting base effectiveness versus relocation. Where retrofitting is determined | | | | | | |



| | | | nunity and public education ffect natural hazard risk red | | ch for residents a | nd businesses to include, but i | not be limited to, the | | |
|--|----------------------------|---|---|---------------|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | ain links to the Onondaga C cing the Onondaga County l | | | n website, and regularly post ages. | notices on the municipal | | |
| Mitigation Action | avai | Prepare and distribute informational letters to flood vulnerable property owners and neighborhood associations, explaining the availability of mitigation grant funding to mitigate their properties, and instructing them on how they can learn more and implement mitigation. | | | | | | | |
| | | Use the Village's e-mail notification systems and newsletters to better educate the public on flood insurance, the availability of mitigation grant funding, and personal natural hazard risk reduction measures. | | | | | | | |
| | | rk with neighbonitigation grant | | nd business g | groups to dissem | inate information on flood ins | surance and the availability | | |
| Action Number | VF. | VFA-2 Goal(s) Addressed 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 Prioritization Score 15/15 | | | | | | | |
| Year Added to Plan | 20 | 13 | Timeline (estimated) | Oı | Ongoing Implementation Priority | | High | | |
| Hazard(s) |) Mitigated | | Drought, Earthquake, Heat Wave/Extreme Heat, Flood, Geological Hazards, Harmful Algal Bloom, Invasive Species and Infestation, Severe Weather, Winter Weather, Wildfire | | | | | | |
| Projec | t Status | | Continuous | | tinued, provide eason. | N/A | | | |
| | n efits 4voided) | | | | L | ow | | | |
| Lead Agency / Orga | anization | Codes Do | Fayetteville Building and epartment (Floodplain Administrator) | Orga | ing Agency / anization pplicable) | Onondaga County De | partment of Planning | | |
| Additional Partici Jurisdictions (If ap | | | | | N/A | | | | |
| Estimated Co | ost | Low | Low Potential Funding Source General Fund (Staff Time) | | | | | | |
| Critical Facil (Critical Facility located in 19 | | No | Additional Det (optional) | ails | | | | | |



| Mitigation Action | | Participate in the Community Rating System (CRS) to further manage flood risk and reduce flood insurance premiums for National Flood nsurance Program (NFIP) policyholders within the Village of Fayetteville. | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|--|--|-------|---|----------------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Action Number | VF. | A-3 | Goal(s) Addressed | | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 | Prioritization Score | 8/15 | | | |
| Year Added to Plan | 20 | 13 | Timeline (estimated) | | 4 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | Medium | | | |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated Flood, Severe Weather | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Status | | | In Progress/Not Yet Completed | If Di | scontinued, provide reason. | N/A | | | | |
| | nefits Avoided) | | High | | | | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Orga | nnization | Codes D | Fayetteville Building and epartment (Floodplain Administrator) | | oorting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | N/A | | | | |
| Additional Partici Jurisdictions (If ap) | | | | | N/A | | | | | |
| Estimated Co | ost | Low | Potential Funding Source General Fund (Staff Time) | | | | ne) | | | |
| Critical Facility (Critical Facility located in 19 | | No | Additional Det | ails | | | | | | |



| Mitigation Action | | Actively support and participate in the implementation, monitoring, maintenance, and updating of this Hazard Mitigation Plan, as outlined, and defined in Volume 1. | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|---|----------------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| Action Number | VF. | A-4 | Goal(s) Addressed | | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 | Prioritization Score | 15/15 | | | |
| Year Added to Plan | 20 | 013 | Timeline (estimated) | | Ongoing | Implementation Priority | High | | | |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated Drought, Earthquake, Heat Wave/Extreme Heat, Flood, Geological Hazards, Harmful Algal Bloom, Inv Species and Infestation, Severe Weather, Winter Weather, Wildfire | | | | | | | | | | |
| Projec | | Continuous | If Di | scontinued, provide reason. | N/A | | | | | |
| 201 | n efits (voided) | | High | | | | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Orga | nization | | Fayetteville Building and des Department | | oorting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | panization N/A | | | | |
| Additional Partici Jurisdictions (If ap. | | | | | N/A | | | | | |
| Estimated Co | ost | Low | Potential Funding Source General Fund (Staff Time) | | | | ne) | | | |
| Critical Facility (Critical Facility located in 19 | | No | Additional Det | ails | | | | | | |



| Mitigation Action | Continue to maintain good standing and compliance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) through implementation and enforcement of floodplain management requirements that, at a minimum, meet the NFIP requirements. These include: • Enforce the flood damage prevention ordinance (e.g., regulating all new and substantially improved construction in Special Hazard Flood Areas). • Participate in floodplain identification and mapping updates. • Provide public assistance/outreach on floodplain requirements and impacts. | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----|--|------|--|----------------------------|-------|--|
| Action Number | VF. | A-5 | Go | oal(s) Addressed | 1 | , 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 | Prioritization Score | 15/15 | |
| Year Added to Plan | 20 | 13 | | Timeline (estimated) | | Ongoing | Implementation Priority | High | |
| Hazard(s) | Hazard(s) Mitigated Flood, Severe Weather | | | | | | | | |
| Projec | t Status | | | Continuous If Discontinued, provide reason. N/A | | | | 'A | |
| | n efits Ivoided) | | | | | Med | lium | | |
| Lead Agency / Orga | Lead Agency / Organization Village of Fayetteville F Codes Department (F Administrator | | | | O | orting Agency / organization (If applicable) | ation N/A | | |
| Additional Partici Jurisdictions (If ap. | | | | | | N/A | | | |
| Estimated Co | ost | Low | | Potential Funding Source General Fund (Staff Time) | | | | | |
| Critical Facili (Critical Facility located in 19 | | No | | Additional Deta | ails | | | | |



| Mitigation Action | Develop, enhance, and implement existing Village emergency plans. | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Action Number | VFA-6 | | Goal(s) Addressed | | 1, 6 | Prioritization Score | 15/15 | | |
| Year Added to Plan | 20 | 13 | Timeline (estimated) | | Ongoing | Implementation Priority | High | | |
| Hazard(s) | Hazard(s) Mitigated Drought, Earthquake, Heat Wave/Extreme Heat, Flood, Geological Hazards, Harmful Algal Bloom, I Species and Infestation, Severe Weather, Winter Weather, Wildfire | | | | | | | | |
| Project Status | | Continuous | If Dis | continued, provide reason. N/A | | | | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | | | High | | | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization Village o | | of Fayetteville Board of Trustees Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) N/A | | | | | | | |
| Additional Partici Jurisdictions (If ap | | | N/A | | | | | | |
| Estimated Co | ost | Low | Potential Fund Source | ling | General Fund (Staff Time) | | ne) | | |
| Critical Facility (Critical Facility located in 19 | | No | Additional Details (optional) | | | | | | |



| Mitigation Action | Develop, enhance, and maintain mutual aid agreements with surrounding municipalities and counties. | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Action Number | VFA-7 | | Goal(s) Addressed | | 1, 5, 6 | Prioritization Score | 15/15 | | |
| Year Added to Plan | 20 | 13 | Timeline (estimated) | | Ongoing | Implementation Priority | High | | |
| Hazard(s) | Hazard(s) Mitigated Drought, Earthquake, Heat Wave/Extreme Heat, Flood, Geological Hazards, Harmful Algal Bloom, In Species and Infestation, Severe Weather, Winter Weather, Wildfire | | | | | | | | |
| Project Status | | Continuous | If Dis | continued, provide reason. N/A | | | | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | | | High | | | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Orga | ead Agency / Organization Village o | | f Fayetteville Board of Trustees | Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) N/A | | | | | |
| Additional Partici Jurisdictions (If ap. | | | N/A | | | | | | |
| Estimated Co | ost | Low | Potential Fund Source | ing | General Fund (Staff Time) | | ne) | | |
| Critical Facili (Critical Facility located in 19 | | No | Additional Det (optional) | ails | | | | | |



| Mitigation Action | Clear logs ar | d debris from | Limestone Creek and Bisho | op Brook | to increase flow cap | acity and reduce the risk of fl | ooding. | |
|--|----------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|---------|--|
| Action Number | VFA-8 | | Goal(s) Addressed | | 4 | Prioritization Score | 11/15 | |
| Year Added to Plan | 20 | 19 | Timeline (estimated) | | Ongoing | Implementation Priority | High | |
| Hazard(s) | | | Flood, Severe Weather | | | | | |
| Projec | Project Status | | | If Di | scontinued, provide reason. | N/A | | |
| 201 | Benefits (Loss Avoided) | | | High | | | | |
| Lean Agency / Cirganization | | ayetteville Department of Public Works | | Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) Onondaga County Soil &Water Conservation District | | | | |
| Additional Partici Jurisdictions (If ap. | | | N/A | | | | | |
| Estimated Co | Estimated Cost Medium | | Potential Fund Source | ling | General Fund (Staff Time), Onondaga County Soil &Water Conservation District Funds, HMGP | | | |
| Critical Facility (Critical Facility located in 19 | | No | Additional Det | ails | | | | |



| Mitigation Action | Conduct a feasibility study to determine the areas of stream banks in Limestone Creek and Bishop Brook that require restoration. Subsequently, the Village will collaborate with the Onondaga County Soil &Water Conservation District to restore these sections based on the recommendations of the Study. | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|------|--|
| Action Number | VFA-9 | | VFA-9 Goal(s) Addressed 4 | | Prioritization Score | 11/15 | | |
| Year Added to Plan | 20 | 19 | Timeline (estimated) | | 1 to 2 Years | Implementation Priority | High | |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | | | Flood, Severe Weather | | | | | |
| Project Status | | No Progress/Not Yet Started | If Di. | scontinued, provide reason. | N/A | | | |
| 201 | Benefits (Loss Avoided) | | | Medium | | | | |
| | | ayetteville Department of Public Works | Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) Onondaga County Soil &Water Conservation Distri | | | Vater Conservation District | | |
| Additional Partici Jurisdictions (If ap. | | | | | N/A | | | |
| Estimated Co | ost | Medium | Medium Potential Funding Source | | General Fund (Staff Time), Onondaga County Soil &Water Conserva District Funds, HMGP, BRIC, FMA | | | |
| Critical Facility (Critical Facility located in 19 | | No | Additional Det (optional) | ails | | | | |



| Mitigation Action | Establish the Village Hall as an official emergency shelter during emergencies and disasters. | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|------|-----------------------------|-----|--|
| Action Number | VFA-10 | | Goal(s) Addressed | | 1, 6 | Prioritization Score | N/A | |
| Year Added to Plan | 20 | 19 | Timeline (estimated) | | N/A | Implementation Priority | N/A | |
| Hazard(s) | | Drought, Earthquake, Heat Wave/Extreme Heat, Flood, Geological Hazards, Severe Weather, Winter Weather, Wildfire | | | | | | |
| Project Status | | Complete | If Dis | continued, provide reason. N/A | | | | |
| 201 | Benefits (Loss Avoided) | | | N/A | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Orga | Lead Agency / Organization Village o | | f Fayetteville Board of Trustees | Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) N/A | | | | |
| Additional Partici Jurisdictions (If ap | | | N/A | | | | | |
| Estimated Co | ost | N/A | Potential Fund Source | ing | N/A | | | |
| Critical Facility (Critical Facility located in 19 | | N/A | Additional Det | ails | s | | | |



| Mitigation Action | Submit a Letter of Map Amendment (LOMA) to FEMA for the Limestone Dam area (northeast of Burdick Street and southeast of Genesee Street). A LOMA was never submitted to FEMA after the Limestone Dam removal; therefore, this area should be revised for floodplain mapping accuracy. | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----|--|--|
| Action Number | VFA-11 | | Goal(s) Addressed | | 2, 5 | Prioritization Score | N/A | | |
| Year Added to Plan | 2019 | | Timeline (estimated) | | N/A | Implementation Priority | N/A | | |
| Hazard(s) | | Flood | | | | | | | |
| Project Status | | | Complete | If Di. | scontinued, provide reason. N/A | | | | |
| | Benefits (Loss Avoided) | | | N/A | | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization Codes D | | Fayetteville Building and Department (Floodplain Administrator) Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) N/A | | | | A | | | |
| Additional Partici Jurisdictions (If ap. | | | N/A | | | | | | |
| Estimated Co | ost | N/A | Potential Funding Source | | | N/A | | | |
| Critical Facility (Critical Facility located in 19 | | N/A | Additional Det | ails | | | | | |



APPENDIX A. HAZARD MAPS

The following hazard maps have been generated for the Village of Fayetteville – [enter hazards here]. These maps are based on the best available data at the time of the preparation of this Plan and are considered to be adequate for planning purposes. Maps have only been generated for those hazards that can be clearly identified using mapping techniques and technologies, and for which the Village of Fayetteville has significant vulnerability.

| Figure # | [Enter map name and description, if applicable] | | | | | |
|----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Figure # | [Enter map name and description, if applicable] | | | | | |
| Figure # | [Enter map name and description, if applicable] | | | | | |
| Figure # | [Enter map name and description, if applicable] | | | | | |
| Figure # | [Enter map name and description, if applicable] | | | | | |
| Figure # | [Enter map name and description, if applicable] | | | | | |
| Figure # | [Enter map name and description, if applicable] | | | | | |
| Figure # | [Enter map name and description, if applicable] | | | | | |



APPENDIX B. LETTER OF INTENT

Statement of Intent to Participate in the 2024 Onondaga County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

The purpose of this letter is to establish commitment from, and a cooperative working relationship between, all participating jurisdictions in the development and implementation of the 2024 Onondaga County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan (HMP). In addition, the intent of this form is to ensure that the Plan update is developed in accordance with Title 44 of the Federal Code of Regulations Part 201.6; that the planning process is conducted in an open manner involving community stakeholders; that it is consistent with each participating jurisdiction's policies, programs, and authorities; and that it is an accurate reflection of the community's values.

To meet this requirement and to help reduce the loss of life and damage to property in the event of a natural disaster, our municipality intends to participate in a federally funded grant initiative to update the 2024 Onondaga County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan.

We understand that the planning process will include a limited number of meetings and/or calls between Planning Team representatives and representatives from participating municipalities and agencies. The subject of the meeting(s) will be to:

- Inform participants on the needs and methods for identifying and prioritizing hazards;
- · Share information on hazards affecting local jurisdictions;
- Provide information related to local assets, plans/ordinances, hazard events and damages, new development, etc. within the jurisdiction; and
- Determine possible projects to reduce the impact of future incidents involving hazards which are prerequisites to municipalities later applying for hazard mitigation grant funds.

We recognize the importance of having an updated multi-jurisdictional hazard mitigation plan to help safeguard the lives and property of our citizens and commit to participating in this process with Onondaga County.

Name of Jurisdiction: VILLAGE OF FAYETTEVILLE

Name of Authorized Representative:

MICHAEL J. SMALL

Primary Point-of-Contact (POC):

Name: Michael Jones

Title: Code Enforcement Officer

Department: Codes

Phone Number: 315-637-9864

Email: MJONES@FAYETTEVILLENY.GOV

Signature of Authorized Representative:

Secondary Point-of-Contact (POC):

Name: Robert Hall

Title: DPW Superintendent

Department: Dept. of Public Works

Phone Number: 315-637-6065

Email: RHALLFDPW@GMAIL.COM

Please return this form to <u>jefferyharrop@ongov.net</u>, or mail to the Onondaga County Dept. of Planning, 335 Montgomery St, Syracuse, NY 13202. Questions, call Jeff at (315)435-2673.



APPENDIX C. PLAN ADOPTION

[Placeholder for adoption documentation after State and FEMA Approval]